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This paper establishes a theoretical framework for online teaching systems that identifies elements of importance to the online teaching process and explains how they are related. The system environment is discussed with regard to constraints, demands, and choices. Within this environment, teaching methods, teaching devices, teaching techniques, and teacher functions are introduced together with learners, teachers, course content, and learning resources as presented in figure 1.

![Figure 1. Model of an online teaching system](image)

The students are central in this model. At their disposal are the learning resources, the course content, and the teachers. To facilitate learning, the teachers have to their disposal teaching methods, teaching techniques, and teaching devices. Among the components presented in the model, the most pivotal for this article are the teacher, the teacher functions, and the teacher application of methods, techniques, and devices. Each of the components is, however, discussed in the following paragraphs.
System Environment Constraints and Opportunities

Teaching takes place in a system environment where choices define the teaching context. Focusing on adult education, Donaldson discussed the environment in view of constraints, demands, and choices. He argued that program administrators should seek to:

… push back constraints, and work to have demands relaxed, thereby increasing the quantity, improving the quality, and expanding the types of choices available to them. (Donaldson 1990, 11).

**Constraints.** There are many constraints that limit the opportunities for online utilization. Among these are the type of institution, geographical issues, equipment, resources, course time frame, course workload, communication pattern, and the financial health of the program provider. The program must comply to the rules, regulations, and policies of the institution and these may vary considerably from a private to a public institution and from secondary education to universities. A program is often confined to a certain geographical area such as a campus, a community, a state, or a nation. With regard to online programs, these restrictions are more often due to policies and legislation than to technical limitations. Lack of computer resources, such as hardware, software, and communication networks, is though, an important limitation for many online programs. The institutions time tables could pose several restrictions on an online course. It is not always convenient for an online course to follow a university semester or term plan. In the same way, requirements of a weekly course load could constrain a program. Some institutions may also require some sort of synchronous communication that further constrain a program.

**Demands.** National legislation and parent organizations form policies and procedures that cannot be ignored. There are demands for flexible learning, quality programming and healthy finances. Employers may have changing expectations regarding technology based learning and students may have changing learning preferences as they begin to have experience with online courses in non-instructional environments. Further, the local community, faculty, staff, and students all have demands to the program. These demands may, of course, be more or less rigid, but together they are an important element of the system environment.

**Choices.** The choices define the context in which the teaching takes place and set the premises for instructional design and teaching techniques. Bååth (1983, 272) identifies five factors to be considered in distance education courses:

1. The course budget
2. The course accreditation
3. The single mode or mixed mode course
4. The subject nature
5. The target group's age and educational level

Harasim et al (1995, 141) state that designing "an online educational environment involves structuring conferencing by type of task, size of group, duration of task, and scheduling of
Building on Bååth's factors and Harasim's design issues, this study suggests that the choices presented and discussed below are crucial to the teaching context.

Table 1. Choices to be made in teaching systems

- Choice of target group (age and educational level)
- Choice of subject nature (subject area and accreditation type)
- Choice of enrollment scale (small scale or large scale student group)
- Choice of study location (home, school, work)
- Choice of communication mode (synchronous or asynchronous communication)
- Choice of scheduling (start-up and pacing flexibility)
- Choice of media (single mode or mixed mode course)

**Choice of target group.** The use of teaching techniques may depend on the program's actual target group. Important target group characteristics are age, educational level, and student aptitude. The target group could be on primary level, secondary level, undergraduate level, graduate level, training level, and professional development or continuing education level. With regard to student aptitude, the group's knowledge, motivation, financial status, and experience with computer-mediated communication (CMC) and computers may vary considerably.

**Choice of subject nature.** Important aspects of the subject nature are the program discipline and subjects, such as science, arts, or business related subjects. Of further importance to the program objectives is the formal character of the program, it may be a diploma course, a credit course, a vocational course, or a purely informational program.

**Choice of enrollment scale.** The group size may vary from one to more than one thousand participants, but very few online courses have more than fifty participants. So far, we have very limited knowledge about how computer conferencing can be applied to mass education. We know, however, that computer conferencing systems can handle thousands of users.

Peters' (1983) applications of industrial theory led him to conclude that the structure of distance teaching is determined to a considerable degree by the principles of industrialization, particularly by those of rationalization, division of labor, and mass production; the teaching process is gradually restructured through increasing mechanization and mass production. At first sight, the theory of industrialization does not seem to apply to computer conferencing. Bates (1991) states:

Third generation technologies (computer conferencing) are particularly valuable where relatively small numbers of students are concerned, since they avoid the high fixed production costs of the industrial model, but they do not however bring the economies of scale of the industrial model, unless the opportunities for interaction for an individual student are dramatically curtailed. (p. 13)
Choice of study location. The first of Keegan's (1988, 30) major elements for defining distance education dealt with the separation of teacher and learner. This separation does not necessarily imply much freedom of study location. Many distance education programs, for instance those taught by videoconferencing, require students to attend classes at fixed locations. Further, Keegan concludes that distance education may include occasional face-to-face meetings. Distance education programs may let students choose where they want to study. Some may want to meet in a classroom with their peers while others prefer to study at home, at work, or wherever a busy life situates them.

Choice of communication mode. In computer-mediated communication (CMC), one must distinguish between synchronous and asynchronous communication. In asynchronous communication, the message is stored in the communication medium until the receivers find it convenient to retrieve it. Synchronous communication, on the other hand, is inflexible, but allows people to communicate in real time, as they do face-to-face or on the telephone. Scheduling of synchronous communication varies in flexibility. A telephone conversation can be initiated without any prior schedule, but a videoconference must often be scheduled months in advance.

Distance education programs may allow students to communicate whenever it is convenient for them. Students may prefer to study during the weekends, after their children have gone to bed, during regular work hours, or whenever they have time available.

CMC could be completely independent of time. Ideally it is available 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. It gives instantaneous access to information whenever it is convenient for the user and there is no need to synchronize the operation among communication partners.

Choice of scheduling. Pacing implies meeting deadlines for starting a course, for examinations, and for assignments. Deadlines, however, can be flexible or rigid. They are flexible when students can set the deadlines, or select one of several deadlines. One example of extreme pacing flexibility is seen in correspondence courses that allow students to start and finish at any time. A more moderately flexible example is a course with multiple starting dates that allow students to enroll at a convenient time. Shale (1987, 32) asserts that "...standardized treatments (of pacing) could be applied to all students on an individual basis." He also suggests possible justifications for rigid pacing:

- To make the administration of a distance-learning system tractable,
- To express a commitment to a collectivist philosophy,
- To guarantee the credibility of examinations,
- To enhance student motivation through group activity, and
- To avoid procrastination. (Shale 1987)

Based on a study of students who took the same course either by correspondence or by computer conferencing, Rekkedal concludes that "the correspondence students consider individual pace of study to constitute a large advantage of correspondence studies, while the EKKO (computer conferencing) students give more varied viewpoints" (Rekkedal 1990, 91).
A distance education program could allow students to choose the pacing they prefer. If they resent rigid pacing, they should be allowed to spend the time they require to complete a course. Other people would like to choose when to start a course and how fast to progress in it.

Wells (1992) identifies three pacing techniques available with CMC. The first is group assignments that urge coherent pacing within groups. The second is gating, a technique that denies students access to information before they have completed all prerequisite assignments. The third technique is limited time access to services such as conferences, databases, and guest speakers.

The previous discussion shows that computer conferencing courses can be paced to a greater or lesser extent. Meaningful group communications, perhaps computer conferencing's major advantage, may, however, be hard to accomplish in an unpaced mode.

**Choice of media.** Distance education programs could provide students with access to several media or sources of information: print, video, face-to-face meetings, computer conferencing, etc. This approach will support different learning styles and prevent exclusion of students lacking access to or knowledge of high technology media. CMC can easily and favorably be supplemented by or integrated with textbooks, audio and video conferences, computer-aided instruction, etc. and it is to some extent an administrative choice to decide how much a course should rely on CMC for communication and content delivery.

**Learners and their Experiences**

Discussing the learner's perspective, Mason and Kaye (1990, 25) argue that "... growth toward autonomy and self directedness in learning can be radically enhanced by CMC,...". On the other hand, Houle (1984) states that education is a cooperative rather than an operative art: it implies voluntary interaction among individuals during learning. Even solitary students guiding their own programs without the help of an instructor seek help and encouragement from others. In a social setting, those who take part in an educational activity should have some sense of collaboration in both planning and implementation:

At one extreme, this sharing is so complete that it requires a group to decide everything that it does together. At the other extreme, the sharing may be implicit in the teaching-learning situation, as when many people flock to hear a lecturer. Those who attend vote with their feet, as the saying goes, and one cannot assume from their physical passivity and silence as they sit in the auditorium that they are not cooperating fully in their instruction. (Houle 1984, 45)

Cooperation can be hard to achieve in distance education. A major problem for many students is the loneliness that results from limited access to student peers; the urge for individual freedom may intensify the problem. However, group communication technologies such as audio conferencing, video conferencing, and computer conferencing have been devised to facilitate cooperation at a distance.
Many students have full-time jobs and families to take care of and many are reluctant to participate if it means relinquishing high-quality family life and job achievements. They need flexible education: education that allows them to combine job, family, and education in a manageable way.

One may say that one person's freedom ends where another's begins, that one person's freedom to act infringes on the freedom of another. As Burge (1991) points out in relation to computer conferencing, "One person's time flexibility is another's time delay." The truth of this statement is hard to refute, but such negative consequences could be mitigated by reducing dependence on individual students and instructors. Coteaching, for instance, could reduce the response time since several teachers can access the system more often than one teacher can.

Further information on learner experiences are available at the web address: http://home.nettskolen.nki.no/~morten/RIBIE

**Course Content and Study Material**

To date, relatively little pre-produced course material is developed for online courses. Even though more and more content is developed for world wide web, much of the content material is adapted from existing face-to-face or correspondence courses. More work must be done in the future to produce tailor-made material for online courses. Information technology allows the course content to be distributed and presented via CMC. Distribution via world wide web could be cheaper and more efficient than shipping course packages by land mail. Further, the web provide hypermedia and multimedia aspects that could give easy access to external resources and enhance learning.

The course content could be developed by a course designer or by the teacher. Anyway, it is considered as preactive workload, and one may assume that the course design will be of importance to the interactive teacher workload. Of special interest to this study are student assignments. The assignments are important tools to introduce the chosen teaching techniques. Presenting the assignment, the course designer or the teacher could explain whether the assignment for example is a search of online databases, an e-mail based correspondence study assignment, a case study, or an online debate.

**Online Learning Resources**

Every program that is offered online provide access to online learning resources. The resources are more or less judiciously provided to support the educational process. The online resources available could be internal, - provided by the institution, or external - made available from other institutions. Whether they are internal or external, these resources could include people, information, and applications.

*People.* Millions of individual experts and thousands of online interest groups are reachable via external CMC networks. These constitute a tremendous resource for lifelong learning. Individual experts can be consulted and interviewed via e-mail. An online interest group
(OIG) is a group of people with a common interest who convene via CMC. There are thousands of OIGs that can be accessed via international CMC networks and it can be argued that they all have some sort of educational purpose. In the early nineties, Howse (1992) stated that more than 1,000 scholarly lists were distributed via Listserv on Internet and that over 1,000 international newsgroups, carrying more than 250,000 items every day, could be accessed at Murdoch University in Australia. Internally, the institution could choose to provide access to local conferences and individual teachers, peer students, and support staff.

Information. A growing number of databases and electronic journals are available through external CMC networks. World wide web documents, catalogues, and search engines are growing rapidly in numbers. Online information probably cover most of the subjects that are taught in online courses. Online database are organized collections of data that can be accessed via CMC. Utilizing these external resources, a course provider could maintain local databases or information services of relevance to the courses. An easier solution could, though, be to provide links to external web services or access to international databases. Online journals are periodicals that are distributed via CMC networks. They are increasingly important resources for information and learning. Supporting this statement, Strangelove (1992) in the early nineties compiled a directory of about 35 electronic journals and 90 newsletters that were available via Internet. Since then, the numbers have exploded. Local bulletin boards could be used to redistribute online journals as well as other local information.

Applications. An enormous number of software applications are available via external and internal CMC networks. Online applications are software programs that can be executed on a remote computer via a computer network. They include a range of applications from software development tools; via specific applications for statistics, economical analysis, etc.; to computer-aided instruction applications. Java applications, that allow users to run remote software applications via their web-browser, gain popularity and have an interesting educational potential. A related, but slightly different approach, is to establish a software library that allows remote users to download software applications from a host computer so that they later can execute the programs on local microcomputers. Such files are available from a number of host computers. Internet provides a standardized file transfer protocol (FTP) for this purpose and a large number of PC based bulletin board systems have software exchange as their main service.

CMC Teachers, Their Functions and Experiences

This section introduces teaching functions both from the teaching theory perspective and from the teaching activity perspective.

The teaching theory perspective. The way teachers conduct their teaching functions are influenced by their philosophical orientation and their theories toward education. Discussing adult education philosophies, Zinn (1991) argued that a teacher's philosophy of education may be unrecognized, inconsistent, and just partially formulated, but that it still provides a basis for the teacher's facilitation of learning. She further distinguished among
liberal, behaviorist, progressive, humanistic, and radical philosophies. These and other philosophies in adult education are presented in selected writings edited by Merriam (1984) and Jarvis (1987). With regard to distance education, Keegan (1988) identified three theoretical positions; theories of autonomy and independence, theories of industrialization, and theories of interaction and communication. Discussing these theoretical positions, Paulsen (1992, available at http://www.nettskolen.nki.no/ekko/fag_art/21/hexagon.html) presented "the Theory on Cooperative Freedom" which is a first attempt to establish a distance education theory attuned to CMC. So, summing up, teachers will perceive their function in educational CMC in the light of their basic theories and philosophies toward education.

The teaching activity perspective. Mason's (1991) article "Moderating Educational Computer Conferencing" identified three role functions that computer conferencing moderators must possess. Based on a literature review, Mason (1991) stated that: "The advice on tutoring skills for educational computer conferencing falls generally into three categories: organisational, social, and intellectual." As examples of these three categories of teacher functions, she respectively mentioned: to set the agenda for the conference, to create a friendly environment for learning, and to focus discussion on crucial points. In this research, assessment is regarded as such an important teaching function that it is viewed as an additional fourth category.

Further information on teacher experiences are available at the web address: http://home.nettskolen.nki.no/~morten/RIBIE

Teaching Methods

Verner (1964, 36) distinguished between individual methods and group methods. Applied on CMC, one often encounters a more detailed classification of methods. Harasim (1989), presenting the "Collaborative Learning Horizon", distinguished among one-to-one, one-to-many, and many-to-many learning approaches. This study suggests that Harasim's classification should be supplemented with the one-online learning approach to support the four communication paradigms often used in CMC. The paradigms are information retrieval, electronic mail, bulletin boards, and computer conferencing. The classification is derived from Rapaport (1991) who uses it in his book; Computer Mediated Communications: Bulletin Boards, Computer Conferencing, Electronic Mail, and Information Retrieval. According to this discussion, the framework comprises the four methods: one-online, one-to-one, one-to-many, and many-to-many.

Teaching Techniques

A pedagogical technique is a manner of accomplishing teaching objectives. The techniques introduced here are organized according to the four communication paradigms used in computer-mediated communication. The foregoing considerations result in a framework of four methods and a number of techniques as shown in figure 3. First, the techniques classified as one-online are characterized by retrieval of information from online resources and the fact that a student can perform the learning task without communication with the
teacher or other students (e.g. search of online databases). Second, the techniques classified as one-to-one can be conducted via e-mail applications (e.g. e-mail based correspondence studies). Third, the techniques discussed as one-to-many will typically be conducted via World Wide Web, bulletin boards or distribution lists for e-mail (e.g. publication of a lecture). Finally, the techniques presented as many-to-many can be organized within computer conferencing systems, bulletin board systems, or distribution lists for e-mail (e.g. debates).

**Teaching Devices**

Verner (1964, 37) referred to "various mechanical instruments, audio-visual aids, physical arrangements, and materials" as devices that can enhance the effectiveness of an adult education process. Verner stated, however, that television could be regarded as a device when used in a classroom and as a method when it is the primary medium used in a distance education setting. From this, one may argue that CMC could be regarded as both device and method. In this study, however, CMC is viewed from the device perspective.

Using the CMC-classification derived from Rapaport (1991), there are four major CMC-devices: information retrieval systems, electronic mail systems, bulletin board systems, and computer conferencing systems. These four CMC-devices correspond primary to the four methods: one-online, one-to-one, one-to-many, and many-to-many.

Building on these foundations, the framework established for the CMC-based teaching system is illustrated in figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teaching Methods</th>
<th>Teaching Techniques</th>
<th>Teaching Devices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-online</td>
<td>E.g. Search of Online Databases</td>
<td>Information Retrieval Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-to-one</td>
<td>E.g. E-mail based Correspondence Studies</td>
<td>E-mail Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-to-many</td>
<td>E.g. Publication of Lecture in a Bulletin Board System</td>
<td>Bulletin Board Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many-to-many</td>
<td>E.g. Online Debates</td>
<td>Computer Conferencing Systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teaching Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organizational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.g. To set the agenda for the conference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Framework for teaching methods, devices, techniques, and functions

**Conclusion**

This paper has established a theoretical framework for online teaching systems that identifies elements of importance to the online teaching process and explained how they are related. By applying all these elements together in a holistic system, it is this authors intention that course designers and teachers should be able to provide better online education.
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